

Peer Review Process

Written by seun

Friday, 11 March 2022 07:07 - Last Updated Friday, 11 March 2022 07:35

Peer Review Process

Peer-review is the method used to assess the quality of a manuscript before it is published. Independent researchers in the relevant research area assess submitted manuscripts for originality, validity and significance to help editors determine whether the manuscript should be published in Journal of Environmental Management and Safety (JEMS).Peer Review Process

JEMS operates a single-blind peer-review system, where the reviewers are aware of the names and affiliations of the authors, but the reviewer reports provided to authors are anonymous. Publication of research articles by JEMS is dependent primarily on their validity and coherence as judged by our external expert editors and/or peer reviewers, who will also assess whether the writing is comprehensible and whether the work represents a useful contribution to the field. Peer-review is the method used to assess the quality of a manuscript before it is published. Independent researchers in the relevant research area assess submitted manuscripts for originality, validity and significance to help editors determine whether the manuscript should be published in Journal of Environmental Management and Safety (JEMS).Peer Review Process

Editorial Board Review Assessment

The Journal of Environmental Management and Safety (JEMS) Editorial Board consists of Scholar from various institutions within and outside the country which are experts in their fields of expertise. The Editor-in-Chief upon submission will assign your paper to an Editor in one of the related disciplines. The Editor reviews the manuscript for plagiarism via the Turn-It-In. If the similarity index falls lower than 25% and also satisfy other requirements of the journal, the Editor will process the manuscript further and assign it to reviewers. It is worth nothing that the Board may decide to reject manuscripts, and not require further review. They can also decide to reject manuscripts that aren't in compliance with the organization standards. Over 40% of submissions are rejected upon initial evaluation.

Independent Peer Review

Peer Review Process

Written by seun

Friday, 11 March 2022 07:07 - Last Updated Friday, 11 March 2022 07:35

All submissions are reviewed at least by two experts. Even though an Editor typically needs two independent reviews, but a single negative review is sufficient to decide if the Editor agrees with the report. The identity of reviewers remains anonymous and not disclosed unless the reviewers provide permission. All submissions will be subject to a blind peer review (Anonymous Reviewer). The Editor evaluate the reviews' opinions and makes a recommendation to the Editorial Board, who makes the final decision. Based on the recommendations:

- The reviewers' reports may be sent to the authors for revision.
- If the article is found to contain too many errors for the reviewers to comment fully on the content of the article, the authors will be required to make major revisions and then resubmit the paper for reviews.

The decision of the Board is communicated by the Editor to the Author. It is worth mentioning that the acceptance rate is currently at 55%.

Submission of Revised Manuscripts

When authors have revised their manuscript in response to the referees' comments, they are asked to add their replies to the comments on the first page of their revised manuscript for onward transmission to the reviewers. The revised version may be returned to the original reviewers who are then asked whether the revisions have been carried out satisfactorily.

Appeals

Authors can appeal a rejection by submitting an appeal to the editorial office ([journal\[at\]cepajournal.com](mailto:journal[at]cepajournal.com)) within 30 days after the manuscript is rejected. The appeal must be accompanied by revisions to the manuscript, as well as a brief (a page) explanation of the reasons for reconsideration. The appeal must address technical questions raised by the reviewers. Your appeal should address the acceptance criteria of JEMS. Take note that, in the event that your submission was rejected at the initial stage, you will require supplementary information or opinions that go above what the editor was read during the review process. This will enable the editor to determine whether your article deserves to be considered again. Rejection after review generally results from assessment of novelty and importance or from technical concerns uncovered in the review process. There are a few other reasons that a paper may not be published.